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Preloaded Monoka (Lacrijet) and congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction: Initial results

Sonde Monoka préchargée Lacrijet (Ljc) et imperforations lacrymo nasales congénitales : premiers résultats
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Summary
Study objective. — To study the performance of a pre-loaded Monoka stent in the management of congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction (CNLDO).
Study design. — Non-randomized study of consecutive cases.
Materials and methods. — A preloaded classic Monoka silicone stent contained entirely inside its introducer (Lacrijet) was used to treat a consecutive series of subjects with CNLDO over an 11-month period (May 2019—March 2020). Only subjects with chronic symptomatic CNLDO were included. Subjects with intermittent tearing, canalicular pathology, trisomy 21, facial cleft, or history of lacrimal surgery were excluded. Intraoperative findings were recorded, including the degree and location of the nasolacrimal obstruction, successful metal to metal contact with the probe, any difficulties encountered by the Lacrijet device itself, procedure duration, tolerability of the fixation punctal plug, and finally, inspection of the stent after withdrawal of the inserter. Functional success was defined as disappearance of all symptoms of epiphora.
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Results. — A total of 45 preloaded Monoka Lacrijet stents (Lcj) were placed consecutively in 38 children. The mean age was 27.9 months (12–78 months). The mean procedural duration was 2.8 minutes (range: 1–10 min). The overall success with disappearance of all symptoms of epiphora was 88.8% (40/45). Surgery in cases of simple mucosal stenosis was successful in 92.2% (35/38) of cases, with a mean follow-up time of 7.9 months (range: 1 to 12 months). The duration of stent intubation was for this group was 32 days (range: 1–103). The surgical outcomes for the other 7 cases with more complex intraoperative findings are summarized in the publication. All withdrawn probes were intact.

Conclusions. — The Lacrijet stent system is a simple and reliable pushed intubation device for CNLDO in appropriately selected cases where bony stenosis of the canal is minimal.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier Masson SAS.

Precis

A pre-loaded monocanalicular stent on an insertion device has been created for greater ease with lacrimal intubation.

Introduction

After the age of one year, the management of cases with persistent congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction (CNLDO) is controversial [1].

The same symptomatology corresponds to a spectrum of causes leading to CNLDO. Cases are only morphologically distinguishable in rare instances such as facial clefts affecting the outflow system. Lacrimal probing (LP) allows clear differentiation between the choice of simple stent intubation and all others [2–6], but the tactile sensation is not sufficiently precise to accurately identify the exact problem [7,8]. While diagnosis might be enhanced by utilizing intra-canicular, nasolacrimal duct and intra-nasal endoscopy as well as imaging studies. Use of such techniques as first-line diagnostic steps would be needlessly expensive as well as potentially causing iatrogenic complications. Clinical experience has demonstrated favorable outcomes in most cases without use of these additional diagnostic tests.

The most efficient treatment is difficult to extract with confidence from review of the literature. The impact of age at the time of surgical intervention is somewhat contentious, but does suggest that early treatment is better [1]. The success rate of a simple lacrimal probing (LP) done after one year of age varies from 50 to 90% [3,9–11]. The success rate

Résumé

Objectif de l’étude. — Étudier le comportement d’une Monoka pré chargée dans le traitement des imperforations lacrymo-nasales.

Nature. — Étude non randomisée de cas consécutifs.

Matériels et méthodes. — Une sonde Monoka classique préchargée (Lacrijet), contenue entièrement à l’intérieur de son guide de pose a été utilisée pour traiter une série consécutive d’imperforation lacrymo-nasale. L’étude s’est étendue sur une période de 11 mois (mai 2019–mars 2020). Seule les symptomatologies permanentes ont été incluses. Ont été exclus: symptomatologies intermittentes, pathologie canaliculaire, trisomie 21, fente faciale, antécédents de chirurgie lacrymale. La sélection per opéra que notait : l’intensité et la localisa tion de la sténose lacrymo-nasale, le test du contact métallique, les difficultés peropéra toires, la durée de l’intervention chirurgicale, la tolérance de la tête de fixation. L’état de la sonde retirée au terme de sa période d’intubation était noté. Un succès fonctionnel a été défini par la disparition de tous les symptômes.

Résultats. — Au total, 45 sondes Lacrijet (Ljc) ont été placées consécutivement chez 38 enfants. L’âge moyen était de 27,9 mois (12 à 78 mois). Le temps opératoire chirurgical moyen était de 2,8 minutes (intervalle : 1 à 10 min). Le succès global (Disparition de tous les symptômes) était de 88,8 % (40/45). La chirurgie en cas de sténose muqueuse simple il était de 92,2 % (35/38) des cas avec un suivi moyen de 7,9 mois (intervalle : 1 à 12 mois). La durée de l’intubation était pour ce groupe de 32 jours (intervalle : 1 à 103). Les résultats chirurgicaux pour les 7 autres cas plus complexes sont résumés dans la publication. Les sondes retirées étaient toutes intactes.

Conclusions. — Le système de Monoka préchargée semble être simple à mettre en œuvre dans les imperforations lacrymo-nasale muqueuses. Une sélection rigoureuse est indispensable.

© 2021 Publié par Elsevier Masson SAS.
of other treatment options in combination with LP (stent intubation, turbinate infracture, balloon catheter dilation, etc.) is often close to 95% [1,11,12]. Each treatment has its limitations with or without endoscopic guidance, however [13–17]. Selection bias is also a concern and the various treatment modalities when combined make the conclusions of both published comparative as well as any prospective studies difficult to interpret with any confidence.

The type of anesthesia and laryngeal protection is rarely taken into account when comparing these techniques [18,19]. Use of a simple mask with general anesthesia has considerable risks with most stent intubation techniques other than the pushed intubation types which minimize intranasal manipulations. The Lacrijet (Lcj) is new pushed intubation device for managing CLNDO. It differs from the Masterka (Msk) in that an introducing guide surrounds the silicone stent and can thus provide better protection and minimize bunching of the silicone stent during pushed intubation (Fig. 1). A preliminary study has demonstrated the simplicity of this method of intranasal stent [20].

The initial results of a new Lacrijet design are here reported.

**Materials and methods**

**Study objective**

To study the performance of a preloaded Monoka stent (Lacrijet) in the treatment of CNLDO (Fig. 1).

**Study design**

Non-randomized study of consecutive cases. CNLDO treated by placing a preloaded classical Monoka silicone stent contained entirely inside an introducer guide (Lacrijet).

All of the subject’s parents received official written information about the procedure. The ethics committee granted approval (IRB 00008855 Société Française d’Ophthalmologie). Inclusion criteria for the study included congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction in infants with symptoms persisting beyond age 12 months. The exclusion criteria included subjects with only intermittent tearing, canalicular stenosis, Trisomy 21, facial clefts or any previous history of lacrimal or facial surgery.

**Procedure**

For each subject general anesthesia was achieved using a laryngeal mask airway. The surgical technique has been described elsewhere [20]. All subjects underwent a probing during the induction phase determines. This allowed the surgeons better determine three necessary parameters:

- the degree of potential nasolacrimal stenosis;
- proper placement of the stent by intranasal metal-to-metal (MTM) contact;
- the distance between the lacrimal punctum and the nasal floor.

The Lacrijet (Lcj) was used in the following manner. The lacrimal catheter was advanced until it was touching the nasal floor. The handpiece was then retracted by one millimeter, to prevent damaging the mucosa, which could lead to unexpected movement (Fig. 2). If the anchoring plug was touching the punctum from the outset, continuous traction was sufficient for ejection of the stent from the introducer. Otherwise, a step-by-step approach was required for proper stent seating. This involved traction that was applied to the piston for approximately 2 to 3 mm. This retracted the introducer inside the handpiece, and ejected the free part of the Lcj, over the same length. The stent was pushed down by...
approximately 2 to 3 mm, until it was touching the nasal floor. The previous steps were repeated until the anchoring plug was touching the lacrimal punctum. Traction was then applied to the piston up to the abutment, in order to eject the remaining portion of the stent. The anchoring punctal plug (APP) was inserted into the vertical canaliculus using the insertion instrument, ensuring that the collar was stable in the punctal meatus and resting on the lid margin. Anesthetic eye drops and an antibiotic ointment were instilled.

Every subject was treated with a post-operative regimen of neomycin and dexamethasone drops in the operative eye three times a day for seven days.

Follow-up visits were scheduled for one day postoperative (for a cornea evaluation) and one month later for stent removal.

As part of the surgery the following was noted:
- the degree and location of nasolacrimal stenosis, Anything other than a simple Hasner membrane stenosis is considered as complex obstruction;
- the metal to metal test confirming proper location through the valve of Hasner;
- any surgical difficulties or complications;
- the duration of surgical intervention: between dilation of lacrimal punctum and insertion of the APP;
- tolerance to the anchoring punctal plug (APP);
- inspection of the Lacrijet silicone after withdrawal.

Results

Thirty-nine pediatric subjects (45 total sides) with persistent symptoms of CNLDO underwent consecutive surgery using a blind pushed lacrimal intubation technique (Lacrijet). All subjects were treated from May 2019 to March 2020 by the same two surgeons (BF, JMR).

Three groups were retrospectively established, depending on the results of simple probing in the OR:

Group A: complex stenosis (4 sides). Attempted pushed intubation was unsuccessful in 2 cases but two pulled intubation procedures were successfully performed during the same operating session. Two other Lacrijet intubations were done but ultimately failed due to complex stenosis. The success rate in group A was 50% (2/4). The average age was 32.3 months and average follow-up was 6.5 months.

Group B: simple mucosal stenosis but questionable MTM contact (3 sides). Endoscopic examination confirmed proper placement and pushed Lacrijet stents were then inserted. The success rate in the group was 100% (3/3) with an average age of 49.5 months and the average follow-up of 8.2 months.

Group C: simple mucosal stenosis done with Lacrijet stent insertion and positive MTM contact (38 sides). The mean age was 25.8 months (range: 12 to 78 months). The average duration of each operative procedures was less than two minutes.

The mean duration of intubation before planned extubation was 32 days. Surgery was successful in 92.2% (35/38) of the
cases. The mean follow-up was 7.9 months (range: 1 to 12 months) (Tables 1 and 2).

There were few intra-operative failures noted in this series and these were essentially related to minor equipment problems. 3 devices failed intra-operatively and were replaced during the procedure without incident. These included separation of the plug collar from the bulb, retention of the punctal plug within the introducer and premature extubation of the stent [20]. These intra-operative encounters are summarized in Table 3. Spontaneous extubations of the plug were easy to insert and when compared with the Masterka no accordion effect was encountered [21]. The only postoperative complication noted was a single corneal abrasion, which healed with medical management. There were no other post-operative complications such as preseptal cellulitis or punctal/canalicular slitting. This is summarized in Table 4. The procedures which took the longest time corresponded to those that involved the replacement of equipment, use of endoscopy (Group B) or conversion into a pulled intubation (Group A) if stenosis in the duct affected the pushed intubation technique.

Discussion

Lacrijet intubation can follow a routine lacrimal probing (LP). The lacrimal punctum needs to be dilated further, however, to comfortably accept the diameter (0.9 mm) of the introducer, which is comparable to the metal probe of traditional pulled intubation systems. Insertion must be gradual and gentle. In this series no punctal ruptures or slitting of the canaliculus were observed. The absence of epistaxis in all cases raises the question of whether laryngeal mask protection is mandatory. Five sides were operated under spontaneous ventilation, using only a face mask, and no specific difficulties were encountered. This choice was entirely based, however, on the decision of the anesthetist.

The Lacrijet is not appropriate for every case of CNLDO. Determining the correct indications is essential

The anatomical nature of CNLDO is one of the two most important parameters. Determining the correct indications is essential (Fig. 3). Screening is performed “blind”, essentially via tactile sensation during lacrimal probing. The lumen of the lacrimal sac and the nasolacrimal duct are normally straight and almost directly aligned with one another. This allows the probe to easily reach and perforate the mucosal block at the valve of Hasner with minimal risk. In approximately 10% of cases, however, this “intubation-breach-release” sequence deviated from the ideal plan. Bone abnormalities (blind duct, inflection, curving, compression, impacted inferior turbinate, etc.) may make physiological intubation difficult, regardless of the type of intubation system used. Intra-lacrimal endoscopy has confirmed the possibility of false passages inside the walls of the nasolacrimal duct [13–16]. They cannot be easily detected, however, and are extremely challenging to correct using rhinoscopy. Additionally, while catheterization of the canal may seem easy, an unusually thick mucosa can occasionally be encountered at the distal end, which can prove difficult to perforate [17]. The prognosis is usually poorer in all such complex cases, regardless of the intubation method chosen.

With complex stenosis of the nasolacrimal duct, the Masterka insertion risks the silicone stent folding on itself like an accordion and thus remaining upstream in the canal [21]. The Lacrijet offers more security, however, as the silicone stent is protected by the introducer passing through the valve of Hasner with the stent remaining in position as the introducer is withdrawn. Of the 39 stents examined postoperatively, all were intact, including the four from group A with complex stenosis. Intubation is just one aspect, however. When a prolonged intubation occurs, especially with ductal stenosis, a pulled intubation technique is unrivaled and it is best to recognize this and move to this method of intubation [1,22]. In group A, both conversions to a pulled intubation were successful, while both Lcj procedures utilized with complex stenosis of the duct failed. As a result, the Lcj is no longer recommended for cases of complex stenosis.

A second important aspect to assess is the ease of movement of the introducer down the nasolacrimal duct. At the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Postoperative results by groups A, B and C for simple vs. complex stenosis with and without metal to metal intranasal confirmation of probe placement.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 43</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>32 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Range)</td>
<td>(22–40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intubation</td>
<td>5.5 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>(2–9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> 2 Lacrijets were removed in the OR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Postoperative results by groups A, B and C for simple vs. complex stenosis by age categories yearly from age 12 to 48 months.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AgeGroup</td>
<td>A&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;+B+C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>(n = 43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failures</td>
<td>(n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success</td>
<td>(n = 38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> n = 2.
Table 3 Operative difficulties comparing original vs. current Lacrijet modification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operative difficulties</th>
<th>n =</th>
<th>Problematic contact between punctal plug and lacrimal punctum (4/28)</th>
<th>Premature punctal plug dislocation (5/28)</th>
<th>Separation of plug collar from bulb</th>
<th>Retention punctal plug in the introducer (2/28)</th>
<th>Mean operative time</th>
<th>Epistaxis</th>
<th>Anesthesia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lacrijet 1*</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Laryngeal mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>2.8 min</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Laryngeal mask/facial mask</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Operative considerations and postoperative complications for groups A, B and C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection</th>
<th>Group a</th>
<th>Group b</th>
<th>Group c</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>n =</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age mean (Range)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex stenosis</td>
<td>32.2 m (22—40)</td>
<td>49.5 m (43—55)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>25.8 m (12—78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheterism</td>
<td>Not applicable 8 min (2—10)</td>
<td>Questionable 10 min (10—10)</td>
<td>Positive 2 min (1—7)</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerance &amp; complications (N = 43a)</td>
<td>Metal to metal</td>
<td>Metal to metal</td>
<td>Metal to metal</td>
<td>Metal to metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal abrasion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.8 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>0b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.8 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature punctal plug dislocation</td>
<td>100% (2/2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.5% (4/38)</td>
<td>13.9% (6/43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection of the removed silicone stent</td>
<td>All 4 intacta</td>
<td>All 3 intact</td>
<td>All 4 intacta</td>
<td>32/32b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Any thing other than simple Hasner membrane stenosis is considered as complex obstruction.

a Conversion to Pulled Monoka = 2.
b 2 Stents not reported.

end of catheterization, it should normally emerge between the lateral wall and the inferior turbinate. If the introducer doesn’t reach this area, it will not be able to assist in placing the stent correctly. When there is positive MTM contact, the nasal probe can move the lacrimal probe or introducer from one side to the other. This freedom translates that the introducer is in the inferior nasal meatus. With a little experience and a soft touch, neither MTM contact nor the intubation will cause epistaxis.

When the sensation is negative or questionable, a submucosal trajectory should be feared. Endoscopic studies have demonstrated that this occurs in approximately 15% of cases, despite the surgeon deeming that the catheterization was satisfactory [23—29]. MTM sensitivity has been criticized, but it is really one of the two pillars of screening for a successful intubation. While it is subjective and depends on clinical experience, it is of great value in achieving a satisfactory intubation [5,30,31].

Endoscopic correlation has demonstrated that this test gives the correct response in 80% of cases [20,32].

The Lacrijet is the third generation of self-retaining punctal fixation nasolacrimal stent systems. The side effects of this latest system have proven comparable to other two generation of intubation sets [22,33—43]. This is summarized in Table 5.

A single case of corneal abrasion has been reported in this series. This required early removal of the stent but had no lasting functional consequences to the either cornea or to a recurrence of epiphora.

As with other self-retaining punctal fixation probes, the quality of the anchoring plug insertion into the vertical canaliculus determines stability. Likewise, for the duration of intubation, the consequence of instability is premature extrusion.

The factors likely to compromise the stability of self-retaining punctal fixation are well known: punctotomy, stricturotomy, engaging the anchoring plug by applying traction to the stent in the nasal cavity, suturing the stent in the nasal fossa, or duration of intubation longer than two or three months [22]. In addition, for pushed intubation, it is
Table 5  Comparison of published self-retaining punctal fixation nasolacrimal stent systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Silicone stent</th>
<th>Introducer</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Stenosis</th>
<th>n =</th>
<th>Average age (month)</th>
<th>Average duration intubation (month)</th>
<th>Early stent loss (%)</th>
<th>Corneal abrasion</th>
<th>Success</th>
<th>Average follow-up (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-retaining punctal fixation nasolacrimal stent systems</td>
<td>Monoka</td>
<td>Pulled intubation</td>
<td>Fayet Kaufman 1993</td>
<td>Unspecified 43</td>
<td>64 m</td>
<td>5.1 m</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fayet &amp; ruban 1998</td>
<td>Unspecified 48</td>
<td>31 m</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>4 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Engel 2005</td>
<td>Unspecified 1028</td>
<td>22 m</td>
<td>2.7 m</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>90.6%</td>
<td>10 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Masterka (pushed intubation)</td>
<td>Stent within introducer</td>
<td>Dotan 2015</td>
<td>Unspecified 54</td>
<td>37 m</td>
<td>2.5/4.7 m</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>71/83%</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fayet 2012</td>
<td>Simple 110</td>
<td>28 m</td>
<td>1.3 m</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>8.4 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Andalib 2014</td>
<td>Unspecified 25</td>
<td>26 m</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goldstein 2014</td>
<td>Simple 35</td>
<td>25 m</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Khatib 2014</td>
<td>complex 17/55</td>
<td>22 m</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>np</td>
<td>88/71%</td>
<td>14 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lacrijet (pushed intubation)</td>
<td>Introducer surrounds stent</td>
<td>Alanon 2015</td>
<td>Unspecified 40</td>
<td>31 m</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>15 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Elsawaby 2016</td>
<td>Simple 30</td>
<td>14 m</td>
<td>1–3 m</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>4 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rajabi 2016</td>
<td>Unspecified 38</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>3 m</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
<td>6 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This study-groupe 2020</td>
<td>Simple 38</td>
<td>26 m</td>
<td>1.1 m</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>92.2%</td>
<td>7.9 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
essential that the canaliculus not be compromised [37]. The anchoring plug should just be touching the lacrimal punctum before engaging the bulb in the vertical canaliculus. This should be performed using the insertion instrument. The further the deviation from these recommendations, the higher the rate of premature extrusion is likely to result.

There is no consensus regarding the ideal duration of stent intubation [3,44,45]. If it is too short, there is a risk of failure. Conversely, if it’s needlessly long, tolerance may be impaired. Unfortunately, the guideline is empirical by necessity, as there are numerous exceptions. Intubation for a few days, or even a few hours, is sometimes sufficient. Simple LP would probably also suffice in such cases, but how can this be determined beforehand remains a source of controversy. Conversely, prolonging intubation for several months does not guarantee results, even if it is anatomically well tolerated. If the initial catheterization inserted the silicone into a false passage, a foreign body reaction will occur. In such cases, the best of stents would be ineffective. In our experience with intubations, a period of three consecutive weeks without localized or systemic treatment usually is indicative of a successful final result. This corresponds to one month after the procedure.

In this series, none of the cases that became asymptomatic during the month of intubation relapsed after the stent was removed. The failures occurred well before the silicone was removed. This supports our choice of time frame.

We found no significant differences depending on age, sex, uni- or bilaterality, anesthesia, surgeon, probe length, and surgical speed (Table 3). Two items more frequently associated with failure, however, were complex stenosis and an intubation retention of less than a week.

Conclusions

Lacrijet™ results are better than those of our simple probing experience and very similar to those of our intubation results with other methods [21,37]. It is difficult to know whether the credit goes to the rigor of the selection process or to the new intubation stent system. Classically, beyond the age of one year, the therapeutic choice has usually been made between a simple LP and more complex treatments (pushed intubations, turbinate infracture, or balloon dacryo-oplasy) with or without rhinoscopy [25,46,47]. Simplicity versus achieving the optimum result is always the question.

We believe that the Lacrijet™ intubation system presented here can improve the results of stent intubation for CLNDO without giving up the simplicity of simple nasolacrimal probing alone, and it spares the need for intranasal manipulation.
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